

Author: Naga Karthik

This step-by-step solution guide has been created by **Naga Karthik** for educational purposes. While we have made every effort to ensure the accuracy of the information presented, it is possible that there may be errors or omissions. We encourage users to critically evaluate and verify the content. BF Maths and the author cannot be held responsible for any errors or inaccuracies in this guide.

If you find any mistakes or have any suggestions for improvements, please contact us at bfmathshello@gmail.com. Your feedback is invaluable in helping us maintain the quality and accuracy of our resources. Please specify *which exercise and which question* in the email.

Thank you for using BF Maths for your maths revision!

Problem-solving

BRONZE:

Body weight, x (kg)	53.6	0.01	250	519	3.38	0.55	1.45
Brain weight, y (g)	450	0.48	510	680	42.5	3.2	14.9

$$\Rightarrow r = 0.870 \text{ (3.s.f.)}$$

$H_0: \rho = 0$, $H_1: \rho > 0$ (positive correlation; one-tailed test; SL: 0.05)

$\rightarrow p = 0.8745 > 0.870$. Accept H_0 : there is no evidence of positive correlation between x and y at the 0.5% level of significance.

SILVER:

a) The data points do not fit a straight line.

b) $r = 0.992$ (3.s.f.)

Temperature, t ($^{\circ}\text{C}$): x	3	4	7	9	10	12
Growth rate, g	1.09	1.28	1.91	2.75	3.64	5.61

$$y = \log g \Rightarrow 0.0374 \rightarrow 0.1672 \rightarrow 0.2810 \rightarrow 0.5611$$

b) $H_0: \rho = 0$, $H_1: \rho > 0$ (positive correlation; one-tailed test; SL: 0.05)

$p = 0.7293 < 0.992$. Reject H_0 : there is evidence of positive correlation at the 5% level of significance.

GOLD:

a) $\bar{x} + 2s \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{63+65+69+62+86+68+73}{7} = 69.4$ $s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum fx^2}{n} - (\bar{x})^2} = 7.61$

$\Rightarrow 69.4 + 2(7.61) = 84.62 < 86$

b) $H_0: \rho = 0$, $H_1: \rho \neq 0$ (two-tailed test, SL: 0.05)

$r = -0.2313$, $n = 7$.

$\Rightarrow p = -0.6994 < -0.2313$. Accept H_0 : there is no evidence of correlation between the marks, so claim would be incorrect.

Remove E from the data:

$r = 0.8185$, $n = 6$

$\Rightarrow p = 0.7293 < 0.8185$. Reject H_0 : there is evidence of correlation between marks, so claim would be correct.

The removal of the outlier would affect the conclusion.

c) Point E is likely to be an incorrect data entry. It would be reasonable to remove this data point and conclude that there is evidence of correlation between the marks in two seats.